

# HW1

September 18, 2025

Durrett: 1.1.1, 1.1.2, 1.1.3, 1.1.4, 1.1.5, 1.2.1, 1.2.4

**Exercise 1** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P}_0)$  be a probability space. We say that  $A \subset \Omega$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_0$ -null set (which may or may not be an element of  $\mathcal{F}_0$ ), if there exists  $N \in \mathcal{F}_0$  such that  $A \subset N$  and  $\mathbb{P}_0(N) = 0$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{N}$  the collection of all  $\mathbb{P}_0$ -null sets.

1. Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{A \subset \Omega : \exists B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{F}_0 \text{ s.t. } B_1 \subset A \subset B_2, A \setminus B_1, B_2 \setminus A \in \mathcal{N}\}.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and it is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ .

2. Let  $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be defined by  $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}_0(B_1)$  where  $A \setminus B_1 \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $B_1 \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . Show that this definition is independent of the choice of  $B_1$ .
3. Show that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a probability space. (This is called the *completion* of  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P}_0)$ .)

**Exercise 2** We first give two definitions.

We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\pi$ -system if it is closed under intersection, that is  $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \implies A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system if

- $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}$ ,
- $A, B \in \mathcal{D}, A \subset B \implies B \setminus A \in \mathcal{D}$ ,
- $A_n \uparrow A, A_n \in \mathcal{D} \implies A \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Since any intersection of  $\lambda$ -systems is still a  $\lambda$ -system, we can define the *smallest*  $\lambda$ -system generated by an arbitrary collection of set  $\mathcal{A}$ , denoted by  $\lambda(\mathcal{A})$ .

1. Show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra if and only if it is both a  $\pi$ -system and a  $\lambda$ -system.
2. Use the *method of appropriate sets* to show that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\pi$ -system, then  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Exercise 3** Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ . We say that  $x \in \text{supp } \mu$  (the support of  $\mu$ ), if  $\mu(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) > 0$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

1. Show that if  $\mu\{x\} > 0$ , then  $x \in \text{supp } \mu$ .
2. Show that if  $\mu = \mu_X$  is the distribution of a continuous r.v.  $X$  with continuous density  $f$ , and  $f(x) > 0$ , then  $x \in \text{supp } \mu$ .
3. Show that  $(\text{supp } \mu)^c$  is an open set, that is, if  $x \notin \text{supp } \mu$ , then there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap \text{supp } \mu = \emptyset$ .

As a consequence,  $\text{supp } \mu$  is always a closed set.

4. Recall that the Cantor set is defined by  $\mathcal{C} = [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1}} I_n^{(k)}$ , where

$$I_1^{(1)} = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}), \quad I_2^{(1)} = (\frac{1}{9}, \frac{2}{9}), \quad I_2^{(2)} = (\frac{7}{9}, \frac{8}{9}), \quad \dots$$

and the definition of Cantor function  $\varphi$  (see, e.g., Example 1.2.7 in Durrett). The distribution function  $\varphi$  defines a probability measure  $\mu = \mu_\varphi$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ .

(a) Show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a closed set and

$$\mathcal{C} = \text{closure of } \bigcup_{n \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1}} \partial I_n^{(k)}.$$

(b) Show that

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \cdot \varepsilon_n}{3^n}, \varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1\} \right\}.$$

(c) Show that  $x \in \text{supp } \mu_\varphi$  for every  $x \in \partial I_n^{(k)}$ .

(d) Show that  $\text{supp } \mu_\varphi = \mathcal{C}$ .