

HW 7

Ex 1.

1. By definition of Stratonovich integral and properties of Itô's integral, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Z, \int_0^t \dot{Y}_s dX_s \rangle_t &= \langle Z, \int_0^t Y_s dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \langle X, Y \rangle_t \rangle_t \\ &= \langle Z, \int_0^t Y_s dX_s \rangle_t + 0 = \int_0^t Y_s d\langle X, Z \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

$$2. \int_0^t Z_s Y_s dX_s = \int_0^t Z_s Y_s dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \langle ZY, X \rangle_t$$

(Itô's formula)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_0^t Z_s Y_s dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^t Z_s dY_s + \int_0^t Y_s dZ_s + \int_0^t d\langle Z, Y \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. , X \right)_t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_0^t Z_s Y_s dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^t Z_s d\langle X, Y \rangle_s + \int_0^t Y_s d\langle X, Z \rangle_s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_0^t Z_s Y_s dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^t Z_s d\langle Y, X \rangle_s + \int_0^t Y_s d\langle Z, X \rangle_s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle Z, \frac{1}{2} \langle Y, X \rangle_t \rangle_t \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^t Z_s d\left(\int_0^s Y_r dX_r + \frac{1}{2} \langle Y, X \rangle_s\right) + \frac{1}{2} \langle Z, \cdot \rangle_t \\
&\quad \int_0^s Y_r dX_r + \frac{1}{2} \langle Y, X \rangle_s \\
&= \int_0^t Z_s d\int_0^s Y_r dX_r + \frac{1}{2} \langle Z, \int_0^s Y_r dX_r \rangle_t \\
&= \int_0^t Z_s d\left(\int_0^s Y_r dX_r\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Ex 2.

$$1. T(\omega) := \inf\{t \geq 0, W_t^{(1)} = W_t^{(2)}\} = \inf\{t \geq 0, W_t^{(1)} - W_t^{(2)} = 0\}$$

Since $(W_t^{(1)} - W_t^{(2)})_{t \geq 0}$ is progressive and continuous,

and $\{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is closed, thus T is a stopping time.

(By Prop 3.3 of the Lecture note).

$$2. \text{ Define } Y_t^{(1)} = Z_t^{(2)} := \mathbb{1}_{\{[0, T(\omega))\}}^{(t, \omega)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbb{1}_{\{[T(\omega), +\infty)\}}^{(t, \omega)}$$

$$Z_t^{(1)} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbb{1}_{\{[T(\omega), +\infty)\}}^{(t, \omega)} =: Y_t^{(2)}$$

Then obviously these are bounded process. And obviously

they are adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ generated by $(W_t^{(1)}, W_t^{(2)})_{t \geq 0}$

Since T is a stopping time. By right continuity of the

sample paths, we obtain that $Y^{(i)}, Z^{(i)}$ ($i=1,2$) are progressive.

And then it is easily verified that for $i=1,2, t \geq 0$

$$B_t^{(i)} = X_i + \int_0^t Y_s^{(i)} dW_s^{(1)} + \int_0^t Z_s^{(i)} dW_s^{(2)}.$$

3. By 2.) we know that $(B_t^{(i)})_{t \geq 0}$ is a c.l.m., $i=1,2$.

And since $\langle B^{(i)} \rangle_t = \langle \int_0^\cdot Y_s^{(i)} dW_s^{(1)} \rangle_t + \langle \int_0^\cdot Z_s^{(i)} dW_s^{(2)} \rangle_t$
 $= \int_0^t Y_s^{(i)2} ds + \int_0^t Z_s^{(i)2} ds = \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,T)} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[T,+\infty)} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[T,+\infty)}$
 $ds = \int_0^t \mathbb{1} ds = t$, by Levy's characterization, we know
that $(B_t^{(i)})_{t \geq 0}$ is B.M. for $i=1,2$.

$$\begin{aligned} 4. \langle B^{(1)}, B^{(2)} \rangle_t &= \left\langle \int_0^\cdot Y_s^{(1)} dW_s^{(1)}, \int_0^\cdot Y_s^{(2)} dW_s^{(1)} \right\rangle_t + \\ &\left\langle \int_0^\cdot Z_s^{(1)} dW_s^{(2)}, \int_0^\cdot Z_s^{(2)} dW_s^{(2)} \right\rangle_t = \int_0^t Y_s^{(1)} Y_s^{(2)} ds + \\ &\int_0^t Z_s^{(1)} Z_s^{(2)} ds = \int_0^t 2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{[T,+\infty)} ds = \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[T,+\infty)} ds \\ &= (t-T) \mathbb{1}_{\{T \leq t\}} = (t-T) \vee 0 \end{aligned}$$

Ex 3.

1. For $i=1,2$, continuity of $(B_t^{(i)})_{t \geq 0}$ is obtained from continuity of $W = (W_t^{(1)}, W_t^{(2)})_{t \geq 0}$ and u, v . Adaptedness is obtained by adaptedness of W and measurability of u, v .

$$\text{For } t \geq 0, \mathbb{E}|B_t^{(1)}| = \mathbb{E}|W_t^{(1)2} - W_t^{(2)2}| \leq \mathbb{E}|W_t^{(1)}|^2 + \mathbb{E}|W_t^{(2)}|^2 = 2t < \infty.$$

$$\mathbb{E}|B_t^{(2)}| = \mathbb{E}|2W_t^{(1)}W_t^{(2)}|$$

$$= 2 \mathbb{E}[|W_t^{(1)}| \cdot |W_t^{(2)}|] \leq 2(\mathbb{E}|W_t^{(1)}|^2 \mathbb{E}|W_t^{(2)}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2t < \infty.$$

Integrability is done. And for $0 \leq s < t < +\infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}[B_t^{(1)} | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[W_t^{(1)2} - W_t^{(2)2} | \mathcal{F}_s] = B_s^{(1)} + \mathbb{E}[W_t^{(1)2} - W_t^{(2)2} - (W_s^{(1)2} - W_s^{(2)2}) | \mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1,2}^{(-1)^{i-1}} (W_t^{(i)} - W_s^{(i)}) (W_t^{(i)} + W_s^{(i)}) | \mathcal{F}_s\right] + B_s^{(1)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1,2}^{(-1)^{i-1}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[(W_t^{(i)} - W_s^{(i)})^2\right] + 2W_s^{(i)} \mathbb{E}[W_t^{(i)} - W_s^{(i)}] \right) + B_s^{(1)}$$

$$= (t-s) - (t-s) + B_s^{(1)} = B_s^{(1)}. \quad \mathbb{E}[B_t^{(2)} | \mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[2W_t^{(1)}W_t^{(2)} | \mathcal{F}_s] = 2W_s^{(1)}W_s^{(2)} + 2 \mathbb{E}[W_t^{(1)}W_t^{(2)} - W_s^{(1)}W_s^{(2)} | \mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$= B_s^{(2)} + 2 \mathbb{E}[W_t^{(1)}W_t^{(2)} - W_s^{(1)}W_t^{(2)} + W_s^{(1)}W_t^{(2)} - W_s^{(1)}W_s^{(2)} | \mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$= B_s^{(2)} + 2 \mathbb{E}[(W_t^{(1)} - W_s^{(1)})(W_t^{(2)} - W_s^{(2)} + W_s^{(2)}) | \mathcal{F}_s] + 0$$

$$= B_s^{(2)} + 2 \mathbb{E}[(W_t^{(1)} - W_s^{(1)})(W_t^{(2)} - W_s^{(2)}) | \mathcal{F}_s] + 0$$

$$= B_s^{(2)} + 2 \mathbb{E}[W_t^{(1)} - W_s^{(1)}] \mathbb{E}[W_t^{(2)} - W_s^{(2)}] = B_t^{(2)}$$

Thus $(B_t^{(i)})_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous martingale for $i=1,2$.

And by Itô's formula, $B_t^{(1)} = W_t^{(1)2} - W_t^{(2)2} =$

$$\sum_{i=1,2} (-1)^{i-1} \left(2 \int_0^t W_s^{(i)} dW_s^{(i)} + \int_0^t 1 ds \right) = 2 \left(\int_0^t W_s^{(1)} dW_s^{(1)} - \int_0^t W_s^{(2)} dW_s^{(2)} \right)$$

$$\text{Then } \langle B^{(1)} \rangle_t = 4 \cdot \left(\left\langle \int_0^t W_s^{(1)} dW_s^{(1)} \right\rangle_t + \left\langle \int_0^t W_s^{(2)} dW_s^{(2)} \right\rangle_t + 0 \right)$$

$$= 4 \cdot \left(\int_0^t W_s^{(1)2} ds + \int_0^t W_s^{(2)2} ds \right) \quad \text{On the other hand,}$$

$$B_t^{(2)} = 2W_t^{(1)}W_t^{(2)} = 2 \left(\int_0^t W_s^{(2)} dW_s^{(1)} + \int_0^t W_s^{(1)} dW_s^{(2)} + \int_0^t 1 d0 \right)$$

$$\text{which means } \langle B^{(2)} \rangle_t = 4 \cdot \left(\int_0^t W_s^{(2)2} ds + \int_0^t W_s^{(1)2} ds \right)$$

Thus we obtain that $\langle B^{(1)} \rangle_t = \langle B^{(2)} \rangle_t$ for $t \geq 0$.

$$\text{And } \langle B^{(1)}, B^{(2)} \rangle_t = 4 \cdot \left(\int_0^t W_s^{(1)} W_s^{(2)} ds - \int_0^t W_s^{(2)} W_s^{(1)} ds \right) = 0.$$

2.

Define $\varphi: [0, +\infty) \times \Omega \mapsto [0, +\infty)$, $(t, \omega) \mapsto \langle B^{(1)} \rangle_t(\omega) = \langle B^{(2)} \rangle_t(\omega)$

Obviously φ is strictly increasing (by 1.) and continuous.

Define for $r \geq 0$ $T_r := \inf\{t \geq 0, \langle B^{(1)} \rangle_t \geq r\}$. Then $(B_{T_r}^{(1)})_{r \geq 0}$

is a B.M. ~~By 1.)~~ And since $\langle B^{(1)} \rangle_t = \langle B^{(2)} \rangle_t$ for $t \geq 0$,

we know that $(B_{T_r}^{(2)})_{r \geq 0}$ is also a standard B.M.

By Dambis - Dubins - Schwarz Theorem, for $t \geq 0$

$$(B_t^{(1)}, B_t^{(2)}) = (B_{\tau_{\psi(t)}}^{(1)}, B_{\tau_{\psi(t)}}^{(2)}) \text{ a.s.}$$

To finish the proof, it suffices to show that (by Levy's characterization) $\langle B_{\tau_0}^{(1)}, B_{\tau_0}^{(2)} \rangle_t = 0$ for $t \geq 0$.

But we have $\langle B_{\cdot}^{(1)}, B_{\cdot}^{(2)} \rangle_t = 0$ for $t \geq 0$, which means that $(B_t^{(1)} B_t^{(2)})_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous martingale. By O.S.T.,

we obtain that $(B_{t \wedge n}^{(1)} B_{t \wedge n}^{(2)})_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale, which implies

that $\langle B_{t \wedge n}^{(1)}, B_{t \wedge n}^{(2)} \rangle_t = 0$ for each $t \geq 0$. Then the proof is

done by letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ using the approximation argument of the cross-variation.

3. It is a direct consequence of 2.) since the probabilities $P(T_+^B > T_-^B)$ and $P(T_+^W > T_-^W)$ are completely determined by distributions of $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Since (\tilde{B}_t) and $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ have the same distribution on $(\mathbb{R}^2)^{[0, +\infty)}$, then the proof is done by results of 2.)