

Ex 5.32

1. Since for $\varepsilon > 0$, $g_\varepsilon(x) = \sqrt{\varepsilon + x^2}$ is $C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then

by Itô's formula, $g_\varepsilon(B_t) = g_\varepsilon(B_0) + \int_0^t \frac{B_s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_s^2}} dB_s$
 $+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\varepsilon}{(\varepsilon + B_s^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} dt$ for $t \geq 0$. Then let $M_t^\varepsilon := \int_0^t \frac{B_s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_s^2}} dB_s$

and $A_t^\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_s^2}} ds$, $t \geq 0, \varepsilon > 0$. Then by properties
of stochastic integral, M_t^ε obviously satisfies the requirements
of this question. And since $\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_s^2}} \geq 0$ for $s \geq 0$, then A_t^ε
is an increasing process.

2. For each $t \geq 0$, if $B_t = 0$, then $\frac{B_t}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_t^2}} = 0 = \text{sgn}(B_t)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$; if $B_t \neq 0$, then $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{B_t}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_t^2}} = \frac{B_t}{|B_t|} = \text{sgn}(B_t)$. Thus we have that $\left| \frac{B_t}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_t^2}} - \text{sgn}(B_t) \right| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} 0$ for all w .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \frac{B_s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_s^2}} dB_s - \int_0^t \text{sgn}(B_s) dB_s \right|^2 \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \left(\frac{B_s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_s^2}} - \text{sgn}(B_s) \right) dB_s \right|^2 \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left| \frac{B_s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_s^2}} - \text{sgn}(B_s) \right|^2 ds \right] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} 0 \quad \text{by D.C.T.} \\
 & \quad (\text{since } \left| \frac{B_s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_s^2}} - \text{sgn}(B_s) \right|^2 \\
 & \leq \left| \frac{|B_s|}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_s^2}} + 1 \right|^2 \\
 & \leq 2^2 = 4 < \infty \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

define for each $t \geq 0$ $L_t := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} A_t^\varepsilon = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (g_\varepsilon(B_t) - g_\varepsilon(0))$
 $- \int_0^t \frac{B_s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_s^2}} dB_s \Big) = |B_t| - \int_0^t \text{sgn}(B_s) dB_s$. And for $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < +\infty$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $A_{t_1}^\varepsilon \leq A_{t_2}^\varepsilon$. By letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain that $L_{t_1} \leq L_{t_2}$ a.s.. By Separability of $[0, +\infty)$, we could choose an increasing modification of $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$. (And we denote by $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$ again this modification).

3. For $\delta > 0$, $u, v \in (0, +\infty)$, $u < v$, and $w \in \{w : |B_t| \geq \delta, t \in [u, v]\}$ we have $|A_u^\varepsilon(w) - A_v^\varepsilon(w)| = \left| \int_u^v \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + B_s^2(w)}} ds \right| \leq \left| \int_u^v \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + \delta^2}} ds \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\varepsilon}(v-u)$. By the fact that for each $t \geq 0$, $A_t^\varepsilon \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+]{} L_t$, we have that there exist a sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ with $n_k > 0$, $n_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ such that for a.s. w , $A_u^{n_k}(w) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} L_u(w)$ and $A_v^{n_k}(w) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} L_v(w)$. By the inequality $|A_u^{n_k}(w) - A_v^{n_k}(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n_k}(v-u)$, we obtain that almost surely $L_u = L_v$. In other words, we obtain that for fixed $\delta > 0$, $0 < u < v < +\infty$,

$$P(\{w : |B(\cdot, w)| \geq \delta \text{ on } [u, v], L_u(w) \neq L_v(w)\}) = 0.$$

This is the proof of the first part of this question.

For the rest part, firstly note that since $\mathbb{Q} \cap (0, +\infty)$ is countable, the for each $\delta > 0$,

$$P(\{w : \exists u < v \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, +\infty) \text{ s.t. } |B(\cdot, w)| \geq \delta \text{ on } [u, v], L_u(w) \neq L_v(w)\}) = 0,$$

i.e., for each fixed $\delta > 0$, we have that

$$P(\{w : \forall u < v \in Q \cap [0, +\infty), |B(\cdot, w)| \geq \delta \text{ on } [u, v], L_u(w) = L_v(w)\}) = 1.$$

By density of $Q \cap [0, +\infty)$ in $[0, +\infty)$ and increasing of $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$, we obtain that for each fixed $\delta > 0$,

$$P(\{w : \forall u < v \in [0, +\infty), |B(\cdot, w)| \geq \delta \text{ on } [u, v], L_u(w) = L_v(w)\}) = 1.$$

Thus we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\{w : \forall u < v \in [0, +\infty), |B(\cdot, w)| > 0 \text{ on } [u, v], L_u(w) = L_v(w)\}) \\ &= P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{w : \forall u < v \in [0, +\infty), |B(\cdot, w)| > \frac{1}{n} \text{ on } [u, v], L_u(w) = L_v(w)\}\right) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an increasing process, we obtain

that $L(\cdot, w)$ is a constant in each connected component of $\{t \geq 0, B(t, w) \neq 0\}$ for a.s. $w \in \Omega$. The proof is done.

4. $\beta_t = \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s$. Then $(\beta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous local martingale.

Since for $t \geq 0$, $\langle \beta_t \rangle = \langle \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s \rangle = \int_0^t 1 ds = t$, by

Levy's characterization theorem, we know that $(\beta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a B.M. It's obvious that it starts from 0.

5. For each fixed $t > 0$, and a.s. w , we have for all

$$s \in [0, t], L_t \geq L_s = |B_s| - \int_0^s \text{sgn}(B_z) dB_z \geq - \int_0^s \text{sgn}(B_z) dB_z(w)$$

$= -\beta_s(w)$, which means that $L_t \geq \sup_{s \in [0, t]} -\beta_s$ a.s.. On the

other hand, for each w , the set $\{s \in [0, t], B(s, w) = 0\}$ is a compact subset of $[0, t]$. ~~Let $t_m(w) = \max\{s \in [0, t], B(s, w) = 0\}$~~ Denote by

$s_t(w) := \max\{s \in [0, t], B(s, w) = 0\}$ for each w . Then we have

$|B(\cdot, w)| > 0$ on $(s_t(w), t]$. By 4.) we obtain that for a.s. w ,

$L_t(w) = L_{S_t(w)} + \frac{1}{n}(w)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Note that $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is
 a $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -submartingale, and $\mathbb{E}[L_t] = \mathbb{E}[|B_t| - \int_0^t \text{sgn}(B_s) dB_s]$
 $= \mathbb{E}|B_t| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \sqrt{t}$, thus $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}[L_t]$ is continuous in $t \geq 0$. By
 Theorem 3.18 of GTM 274, (note that it is assumed above
 Exercises of this chapter that $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is complete and the
 filtration in this Ex 5.32 is larger than the natural one
 generated by a B.M., which means that $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is also
 right-continuous.) we obtain that $(L_t)_{t \geq 0}$ has a modification
 having right-continuous sample paths and meanwhile
 is still increasing (which could be easily seen from the

(construction of this modification; see Theorem 3.17 also)

Thus for a.s. w , $L_t(w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} L_{S_t(w) + \frac{1}{n}}(w) = L_{S_t(w)}(w) = |B_{S_t(w)}(w)| - \beta_{S_t(w)} = 0 - \beta_{S_t(w)} \leq \sup_{s \in [0, t]} -\beta_s(w)$. Therefore

we have $L_t(w) = \sup_{s \in [0, t]} -\beta_s(w)$ a.s.. By 4.) we know that $(-\beta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is also a B.M. which implies that for $t \geq 0$,

$\text{Law}(L_t) = \text{Law}(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} -\beta_s) = \text{Law}(\sqrt{t}|U|)$, where $U \sim N(0, 1)$.

6. By results in 3.), we know that $L(\cdot, w)$ is constant if $B(\cdot, w) \neq 0$ in an interval for a.s. w , which implies that for any integrand $Z(\cdot)$, $a < b \in [0, +\infty)$, we have

$$P(\{w: Z(\cdot, w) = 1 \text{ in } (a, b), \int_a^b Z_s dB_s(w) = B_b(w) - B_a(w)\}) = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Then for } t \geq 0, \varepsilon > 0, L_t + \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{[S_n^\varepsilon, T_n^\varepsilon]} \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s \\ &= |B_t| - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s + \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{[S_n^\varepsilon, T_n^\varepsilon]} \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s \\ &= |B_t| - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s + \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{N_t^\varepsilon} 1_{[S_n^\varepsilon, T_n^\varepsilon]} \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s + \\ & \quad \int_0^t 1_{[S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon, t \vee S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon]} \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s \\ &= |B_t| - \int_0^t \left(1 - \sum_{n=1}^{N_t^\varepsilon} 1_{[S_n^\varepsilon, T_n^\varepsilon]} - 1_{[S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon, S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon \vee t]} \right) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s \end{aligned}$$

$$= |B_t| - \int_0^t \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_t-1} 1_{(T_n^\varepsilon, S_{n+1}^\varepsilon)}(s) + 1_{(T_{N_t^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon, t \wedge S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon)}(s) + 1_{[S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon, S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon] \vee t}(s) \right)$$

$$- 1_{[S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon, S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon] \wedge t}(s) \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s$$

$$= |B_t| - \int_0^t \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_t-1} 1_{(T_n^\varepsilon, S_{n+1}^\varepsilon)}(s) + 1_{(T_{N_t^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon, t \wedge S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon)}(s) \right) \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s$$

$$= |B_t| - \int_0^t \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N_t^\varepsilon} 1_{(T_n^\varepsilon, S_{n+1}^\varepsilon)}(s) - 1_{[t \wedge S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon, S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon]}(s) \right] \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s$$

$$= |B_t| - \sum_{n=1}^{N_t^\varepsilon} \int_{T_n^\varepsilon}^{S_{n+1}^\varepsilon} \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s + \int_{t \wedge S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon}^{S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon} \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s$$

$$= |B_t| - \sum_{n=1}^{N_t^\varepsilon} (B_{S_{n+1}^\varepsilon} - B_{T_n^\varepsilon}) \operatorname{sgn}(B_{T_n^\varepsilon}) + (B_{S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon} - B_t) \operatorname{sgn}(B_t) \mathbb{1}_{\{t < S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon\}}$$

$$= |B_t| + \varepsilon N_t^\varepsilon - |B_t| \mathbb{1}_{\{t < S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon\}}.$$

$$= \varepsilon N_t^\varepsilon + |B_t| \mathbb{1}_{\{t > S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon\}}. \quad \text{Set } I_t^\varepsilon := |B_t| \mathbb{1}_{\{t > S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon\}}, \text{ and note that}$$

on $\{(t, w) : t \geq S_{N_t^\varepsilon+1}^\varepsilon(w)\}$, $|B_t| \leq \varepsilon$. Thus we have $|I_t^\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon$. To show $\varepsilon N_t^\varepsilon \xrightarrow[L^2]{} I_t$,

it suffices to show that $\int_0^t \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{[S_n^\varepsilon, T_n^\varepsilon]}(s) \right) \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s \xrightarrow[L^2]{} 0$. Firstly note that

for $s \geq 0$, $\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{[S_n^\varepsilon, T_n^\varepsilon]}(s) \right) \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0$ a.s., then $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{[S_n^\varepsilon, T_n^\varepsilon]}(s) \right) \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s \right]^2$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{[S_n^\varepsilon, T_n^\varepsilon]}(s) \right)^2 ds \right] \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0 \text{ by D.C.T. (since } \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{[S_n^\varepsilon, T_n^\varepsilon]}(s) \right| \leq 1 \text{ for } s > 0\text{)}$$

The proof is done.

$$7. \text{ By 5.) and 6.), it suffices to show that } \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{[S_n^\varepsilon, T_n^\varepsilon]}(s) \right) \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

(But I haven't come up with a solution to it yet. Apologize for that. I will upload a solution to this question as soon as I figure it out.)

Ex 5.33

1. By Itô's formula, $|B_t|^2 = |x|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t 2B_s^i dB_s^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t 2dt = |x|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t 2B_s^i dB_s^i + Nt$. And since $\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t 2B_s^i dB_s^i \right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous local martingale, $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a finite variation process, thus $(|B_t|^2)_{t \geq 0}$ is a semi-martingale.

And by the fact that for $t \geq 0$, $\mathbb{E} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t 2B_s^i dB_s^i \right\rangle = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \left\langle \int_0^t 2B_s^i dB_s^i \right\rangle \right] = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E} \int_0^t 4|B_s^i|^2 ds = \sum_{i=1}^N 4 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}|B_s^i|^2 ds = 4 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t s ds = 2Nt^2 < \infty$, we obtain that $\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t 2B_s^i dB_s^i \right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a true martingale.

2. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $t \geq 0$, $\int_0^t \left(\frac{B_s^i}{|B_s|} \right)^2 dS = \int_0^t \frac{|B_s^i|^2}{|B_s|^2} dS \leq \int_0^t 1 dS = t < \infty$. Thus the stochastic integral in the definition of β_t is well-defined. And $(\beta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous local martingale. Since $\langle \beta_t \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \frac{B_s^i}{|B_s|} dB_s^i \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\langle \int_0^t \frac{B_s^i}{|B_s|} dB_s^i \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \frac{|B_s^i|^2}{|B_s|^2} dS = \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{B_s^{i2}}{|B_s|^2} dS = t$ for $t \geq 0$, thus $(\beta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -B.M. starting from 0.

3. By 1) It suffices to show that $\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t B_s^i dB_s^i = \int_0^t |B_s| dB_s$ for $t > 0$. Actually $\int_0^t |B_s| dB_s = \int_0^t |B_s| \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{B_s^i}{|B_s|} dB_s^i = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t B_s^i dB_s^i$.

4. Define $S_R := \{t \geq 0 : |B_t| \geq R\}$ for $R > \|x\|$ (as in next Question). Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $f(B_{t \wedge T_n \wedge S_{n+1} \times 1})$ is integrable and \mathcal{F}_t -measurable for both $N=2$ and $N \geq 3$. To prove that $(f(B_{t \wedge T_n \wedge S_{n+1} \times 1}))_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, it suffices to show that for $0 \leq s < t < +\infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(B_{t \wedge T_n \wedge S_{n+1} \times 1}) | \mathcal{F}_s] = f(B_{s \wedge T_n \wedge S_{n+1} \times 1}),$$

which is a direct consequence of fundamental Theorem of Calculus and the fact that $f(x)$ is harmonic in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{B(0, \varepsilon)\}$.

For a detailed proof of a generalized result, see the following Theorem:

(17.1) THEOREM. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $C^{1,2}$, and that there exists a constant K such that, for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(17.2) \quad |f(t, x)| + \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x) \right| + \sum_{j=1}^d \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(t, x) \right| + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(t, x) \right| \leq K e^{K(t + |x|)}$$

Then the process

$$(17.3) \quad C_t^f := f(t, B_t) - f(0, B_0) - \int_0^t \mathcal{G}f(s, B_s) ds \quad \text{is a martingale,}$$

where

$$(17.4) \quad \mathcal{G}f(t, x) := \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2} \right)(t, x).$$

Remarks. The class $C^{1,2}$ is, of course, the class of functions $f(t, x)$ with continuous partial derivatives of all orders up to 1 in t and up to 2 in x . The exponential growth condition (17.2) will be seen to be unnecessary provided we relax the statement (17.3) to say that C^f is a *local* martingale. We shall not digress to define this now. In dimension $d = 1$, the only functions of x for which $f(B_t)$ is a martingale are the linear functions, but in dimension $d \geq 2$ we shall see that there is a very rich family of f for which $f(B_t)$ is a martingale.

Proof. We must prove that, for $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$\mathbf{E}[C_t^f - C_s^f | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0,$$

for which, by the independent-increments property of B , it will suffice to prove that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \geq 0$

$$(17.5) \quad \mathbf{E}^x[C_t^f] = 0,$$

where \mathbf{P}^x is the law of Brownian motion started at x . Without loss of generality, we can take $x = 0$ (and write \mathbf{P} for \mathbf{P}^0), and we shall prove that, for $0 < \varepsilon < t$,

$$(17.6) \quad \mathbf{E}[C_t^f - C_\varepsilon^f] = 0.$$

Using the assumption (17.2), the fact that $\mathbf{P}[\sup_{u \leq t} |B_u| \geq a] \leq c\mathbf{P}[|B_1| \geq a/\sqrt{t}]$ (see (13.4)), and dominated convergence, (17.6) implies (17.5).

Letting $p_t(x) := (2\pi t)^{-d/2} \exp(-|x|^2/2t)$ denote the d -dimensional Brownian transition density, we observe that, for $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(17.7) \quad \frac{\partial p_t}{\partial t}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 p_t}{\partial x_j^2}(x).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[C_t^f - C_\varepsilon^f] &= E \left[f(t, B_t) - f(\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon) - \int_\varepsilon^t g f(s, B_s) ds \right] \\ &= \int [p_t(x)f(t, x) - p_\varepsilon(x)f(\varepsilon, x)] dx \\ &\quad - \int_\varepsilon^t ds \int p_s(x) \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(s, x) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(s, x) \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\int p_s(x) \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(s, x) dx = \int \frac{1}{2} \Delta p_s(x) f(s, x) dx,$$

(integrating twice by parts and using (17.2))

$$= \int \frac{\partial p_s}{\partial t}(x) f(s, x) dx,$$

using (17.7). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[C_t^f - C_\varepsilon^f] &= \int [p_t(x)f(t, x) - p_\varepsilon(x)f(\varepsilon, x)] dx \\ &\quad - \int_\varepsilon^t ds \int \left[p_s(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(s, x) + f(s, x) \frac{\partial p_s}{\partial t}(x) \right] dx \\ &= \int [p_t(x)f(t, x) - p_\varepsilon(x)f(\varepsilon, x)] dx - \int_\varepsilon^t ds \int \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (p_s(x)f(s, x)) dx \\ &= \int [p_t(x)f(t, x) - p_\varepsilon(x)f(\varepsilon, x)] dx - \int \left\{ \int_\varepsilon^t ds \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [p_s(x)f(s, x)] \right\} dx \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

5. Since $|f(B_{t \wedge T_\varepsilon \wedge S_R})|$ is bounded ~~in~~ $t \geq 0$ provided that $|x| > \varepsilon$ and $|R| > |x|$, then $(f(B_{t \wedge T_\varepsilon \wedge S_R}))_{t \geq 0}$ is UI. By O.S.T., we have that $\mathbb{E}[f(B_{0 \wedge T_\varepsilon \wedge S_R})]$

$= \mathbb{E}[f(B_{T_\varepsilon \wedge S_R \wedge T_\varepsilon \wedge S_R})]$, which implies that

$$f(|x|) = \mathbb{E}[f(R) \mathbf{1}_{\{T_\varepsilon \geq S_R\}} + f(\varepsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{T_\varepsilon < S_R\}}]$$

$$= f(R) \cdot (1 - P(T_\varepsilon < S_R)) + f(\varepsilon) P(T_\varepsilon < S_R)$$

$$\text{Therefore } P(T_\varepsilon < S_R) = \frac{f(R) - f(|x|)}{f(R) - f(\varepsilon)}$$

Note that $(T_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is increasing ~~in ε~~ . Thus we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} P(T_\varepsilon < S_R) = 1$.

$$P(T_0 < S_R) = P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{T_{\frac{1}{n}} < S_R\}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(R) - f(\frac{1}{n})}{f(R) - f(0)}$$

$= 0$. Therefore for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\exists \Omega_n \subseteq \Omega$ s.t. $P(\Omega_n) = 1$

and $T_0(w) \geq S_n(w)$ for $w \in \Omega_n$. Set $\tilde{\Omega} := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n$. Then

$P(\tilde{\Omega}) = 1$, and for $w \in \tilde{\Omega}$, and for any $t \geq 0$, $\sup_{S \in [0,t]} |B_S|(w) < \infty$ (since $|B_t(w)|$ is continuous), let $n > \sup_{S \in [0,t]} |B_S|(w)$. Then

we have $T_0(w) \geq S_{n(w)}(w)$, which implies that $|B_t(w)| \leq \sup_{[0,t]} |B_s(w)| < n$, and $B_t(w) \neq 0$. The proof is done.

$t < S_{n(w)}(w)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 6. \text{ By It\^o's formula, } |B_t| &= |B_0| + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \frac{B_s^i}{|B_s|} dB_s^i \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \frac{|B_s|^2 - B_s^{i^2}}{|B_s|^3} d\langle B_s^i \rangle = |x| + \beta_t + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{N}{|B_s|} - \frac{|B_s|^2}{|B_s|^3} ds \\
 &= |x| + \beta_t + \frac{1}{2}(N-1) \int_0^t \frac{ds}{|B_s|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

7. By 5 we know that a.s. $T_0(w) = +\infty$. Define

$\tilde{T}_n := T_{\frac{n}{2}} \nearrow +\infty$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Since for $t \geq 0$
 $|B_{t \wedge \tilde{T}_n}|^{2-N}$ is bounded for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, ~~and~~ and we could
show as in 4 that $(|B_{t \wedge \tilde{T}_n}|^{2-N})_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale. Thus
 $(|B_t|^{2-N})_{t \geq 0}$ is a local martingale. Since it is non-negative
then it is an nonnegative supermartingale (by Fatou's Lemma;
(And the fact that $|B_0|^{2-N} = |x|^{2-N}$ is integrable). By martingale
Convergence theorem, there exists a r.v. $Z \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$ s.t.
 $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |B_t|^{2-N} = Z$ a.s.. And by 5 we know that for
a.s. w , and any $R > |x|$, ~~$P(S_R < +\infty)$~~ $S_R(w) < +\infty$,
which implies that $Z \equiv 0$ a.s. Therefore we obtain that
 $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |B_t| = +\infty$ a.s.

$$\begin{aligned}
 8. \text{ Set } y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad &\text{For each } t \geq 0 \\
 &\mathbb{E} |B_t|^{-2} = \mathbb{E} \left[|B_t|^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|B_t| > \frac{1}{2}|x|\}} \right] \\
 &+ \mathbb{E} \left[|B_t|^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|B_t| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|\}} \right] \leq 4|x|^{-2} + \mathbb{E} \left[|B_t|^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|B_t| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|\}} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{And } \mathbb{E}[|B_t|^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|B_t| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|\}}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|y|^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|y| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|\}} (2\pi t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{|y-x|^2}{2t}} dy \\
&= (2\pi t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{B(0, \frac{1}{2}|x|)} |y|^{-2} e^{-\frac{|y-x|^2}{2t}} dy \leq (2\pi t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{B(0, \frac{1}{2}|x|)} |y|^{-2} e^{-\frac{(|x| - \frac{1}{2}|x|)^2}{2t}} dy \\
&= (2\pi t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{8t}} \cdot \int_{B(0, \frac{1}{2}|x|)} |y|^{-2} dy \\
&= (2\pi t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{8t}} \cdot \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}|x|} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi (r^2 \cos^2 \varphi \sin^2 \theta + r^2 \sin^2 \varphi \sin^2 \theta + r^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta dr d\theta d\varphi \\
&= \underbrace{(2\pi t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{8t}}}_{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{8t}}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}|x|} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin \theta dr d\theta d\varphi = 2\pi|x|(2\pi t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{8t}} \\
&= C_1 t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-C_2 \frac{1}{t}} \quad (C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+) . \quad (*)
\end{aligned}$$

On $\{t : t \geq 1\}$, $(*) \leq C_1$; And note that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-C_2 t^{-1}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t^{\frac{3}{2}}}{e^{C_2 t}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\frac{3}{4} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{C_2 e^{C_2 t}} = 0,$$

thus $\exists \delta \in (0, 1)$ s.t. $|(*)| \leq 1$ on $\{t : t \in [0, \delta]\}$.

By continuity of $(*)$ in $t > 0$, we know that $(*)$ is bounded on $\{t : t \in [\delta, 1]\}$. Therefore we conclude that $(*)$ is bounded on $\{t : t \in [0, +\infty)\}$.

Then we obtain that $(|B_t|^{-1})_{t \geq 0}$ is L^2 bounded in t ,
 which implies that $(|B_t|^{-1})_{t \geq 0}$ is UI continuous local
 martingale. Together with results of 7, we know that
 $|B_t|^{-1} \xrightarrow[L^1]{t \rightarrow +\infty} 0$, which means $\mathbb{E}||B_t|^{-1} - 0| = \mathbb{E}|B_t|^{-1}$
 $\rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus $(|B_t|^{-1})_{t \geq 0}$ is not a true
 martingale, otherwise we would have that

$$\mathbb{E}|B_t|^{-1} \equiv |x|^{-1} \text{ for all } t \geq 0$$