

HW 5

EX 1

$$(1). Z_t = e^{\lambda B_t - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t}, t \geq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. T_a = \inf\{t \geq 0, B_t = a\}$$

$$a > 0. \text{ Since } Z_{t \wedge T_a} = e^{\lambda B_{t \wedge T_a} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 t \wedge T_a}$$

$$\leq e^{\lambda B_{t \wedge T_a}} \leq e^{\lambda a} \text{ for } \lambda \geq 0, \text{ and } \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda a} = e^{\lambda a} < \infty,$$

then we obtain that for $\lambda \geq 0$, $(Z_{t \wedge T_a})_{t \geq 0}$ is UI.

By O.S.T. we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda a} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 T_a}] = \mathbb{E}[Z_{T_a \wedge T_a}] = \mathbb{E}[Z_0 \wedge T_a] = 1,$$

$$\text{which implies that } \mathbb{E}[e^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 T_a}] = e^{-\lambda a} \text{ for } \lambda \geq 0.$$

Take $\lambda = \sqrt{2c} \geq 0$ for $c > 0$, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E} e^{-c T_a} = e^{-\sqrt{2c} a}.$$

(2). Since $Z_t \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a supermartingale, we know that \exists r.v. Z_∞ s.t. $Z_t \rightarrow Z_\infty$ a.s. as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

And for $\varepsilon, M > 0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\sup_{t \in [0, n]} B_t > \varepsilon n + M) &= P(|B_n| > \varepsilon n + M) \\ &= P\left(\left|\frac{B_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right| > \varepsilon \sqrt{n} + \frac{M}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon \sqrt{n} + \frac{M}{\sqrt{n}})^2} \end{aligned}$$

$\leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 n}$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 n} < \infty$, we obtain

by Borel-Cantelli Lemma that

$$P\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, n]} B_t \leq \varepsilon n + M \right\}\right) = 1,$$

which implies that for a.s. $w \in \Omega$, $\exists n_0(w) \in \mathbb{N}^*$ s.t. for $n > n_0(w)$, $\sup_{t \in [0, n]} B_t \leq \varepsilon n + M$.

Therefore with probability 1, $\exists t_0(w) > 0$ s.t. for $t > t_0(w)$,

$$\underline{B_t(w)} \leq \sup_{t \in [0, t_0(w)]} B_t \leq \varepsilon([t] + 1) + M.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus for sufficiently large } t > 0, Z_t &= e^{\lambda B_t - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t} \\ &\leq e^{\lambda(\varepsilon[t] + \varepsilon + M) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t} \leq e^{\lambda((\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)[t]) + \lambda(\varepsilon + M)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}\lambda$ for example, then we have that

$$Z_t \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

OR: You could prove this result via Law of Iterative

$$\text{Logarithm: } \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{B_t}{(t + \log \log t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1.$$

(3). $S_a = \inf\{t \geq 0, B_t \geq a t + 1\}$ is a stopping time for $a > 0$.

~~(Since $\{t \geq 0, B_t \geq a t + 1\}$ is progressive). (Maybe some completion is needed for the filtration)~~

For each $t > 0$, $S_a \wedge t$ is a bounded stopping time.

Then by O.S.T., $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{Z}_{S_a \wedge t}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{Z}_0] = 1$.

But note that for $w \in \{S_a \leq t\}$, $S_a \wedge t(w) = S_a$,

and $B_{S_a} = aS_a + 1$; And for $w \in \{S_a > t\}$, $S_a \wedge t(w) = t$.

Set $\lambda = 2a$, we have that $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{Z}_{S_a \wedge t}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{S_a \leq t} 1_{\{S_a \leq t\}} + \sum_t 1_{\{S_a > t\}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{2a(aS_a+1)-2a^2S_a} \cdot 1_{\{S_a \leq t\}} + e^{2aB_t-2a^2t} \cdot 1_{\{S_a > t\}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{2a} 1_{\{S_a \leq t\}} + e^{2aB_t-2a^2t} 1_{\{S_a > t\}}\right]$

Therefore we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{2a} \cdot 1_{\{S_a \leq t\}} + e^{2aB_t-2a^2t} \cdot 1_{\{S_a > t\}}\right] = 1,$$

which implies that:

$$P(S_a \leq t) = e^{-2a}$$

$$\text{Then } |P(S_a \leq t) - e^{-2a}| = \mathbb{E}[e^{B_t - at} \cdot 1_{\{S_a > t\}}]$$

$$\leq (\mathbb{E}[e^{2B_t-2at} \cdot \mathbb{E}[1_{\{S_a > t\}}]])^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$P(S_a < +\infty) = e^{-2a} \text{ by letting } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

(since $1_{\{S_a \leq t\}} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow +\infty} 1_{\{S_a < +\infty\}}$ in L' , and also $e^{2aB_t-2a^2t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ a.s., and $e^{2aB_t-2a^2t} 1_{\{S_a > t\}}$

$$\leq e^{2a(at+1)-2a^2t} 1_{\{S_a > t\}} = e^{2a} 1_{\{S_a > t\}}.$$

By D.C.T.,

$$e^{2aBt - 2a^2t} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_a > t\}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \text{ in } L^1.$$

Ex 2

(1) For each $t \in Q \cap [0, +\infty)$, let Y_t be a version of $\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{G}]$.

By continuity of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, we know that for a.s. $w \in \Omega$,

$X(\cdot, w)$ is continuous at each $t \geq 0$. Then for $t \geq 0$, and any sequence $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $t_n \in Q \cap [0, +\infty)$, $t_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} t$, we have

$X(t, w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} X(t_n, w)$. Then by Conditional D.C.T. and

boundedness of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, we have that $\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{G}] = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}[X_{t_n} | \mathcal{G}]$ a.s.

Now let me show that for a.s. $w \in \Omega$, the sample path

$Y(\cdot, w)$ on $Q \cap [0, +\infty)$ is continuous with a modulus of

continuity not larger than that of $X(\cdot, w)$. Define for $\delta > 0$

$$w(\delta, X^{(w)}) := \sup \{ |X_t - X_s|^{(w)}, |t-s| \leq \delta, t, s \in Q \cap [0, +\infty) \},$$

and $w(\delta, Y(w))$ similarly. By the fact that for

~~$$|Y_t - Y_s| = |\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{G}] - \mathbb{E}[X_s | \mathcal{G}]| = |\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_s | \mathcal{G}]|$$~~

$$|Y_t - Y_s| = |\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{G}] - \mathbb{E}[X_s | \mathcal{G}]| = |\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_s | \mathcal{G}]| \leq \mathbb{E}[|X_t - X_s| | \mathcal{G}] \text{ for a.s. } w \text{ (since } Q \cap [0, +\infty) \text{ is countable)}$$

then we have for $|t-s| \leq \delta$, $|Y_t - Y_s| \leq \mathbb{E}[w(\delta, X^{(w)}) | \mathcal{G}]$ ($w(\delta, X^{(w)}) \in L^1$ by boundedness of $X(\cdot, \cdot)$).

Then by Conditional Fatou's Lemma, we obtain that

$$(*) \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{|t-s| \leq \delta} |Y_t - Y_s| \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} w(s, X(\cdot)) \mid \mathcal{G} \right] \text{ a.s.}$$

Now let me replace $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, +\infty)$ with $\mathbb{Q} \cap [n-1, n]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ in $(*)$, by compactness of $[n-1, n]$ and continuity of $X(\cdot)$, we obtain that $X(\cdot)$ is a.s. uniformly continuous on $[n-1, n]$ and therefore for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\exists \Omega_n \subseteq \Omega$ s.t. $P(\Omega_n) = 1$ with

$Y(w)$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{Q} \cap [n-1, n]$. By density of $\mathbb{Q} \cap [n-1, n]$ in $[n-1, n]$, there exists an unique continuous extension $Y^{(n)}(t, w)$ with $t \in [n-1, n]$ for all $w \in \Omega_n$. Therefore, for $w \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n$, which has probability one ~~one~~ we have that $Y(t, w) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y^{(n)}(t, w) \mathbf{1}_{\{t \in [n-1, n]\}}$ is continuous at $t > 0$. Let $Y(t, w) = 0$ for $w \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n, t > 0, t \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

~~The boundedness of $X(\cdot)$ is obtained by boundedness of $(Y_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, +\infty)}$~~

for each $t > 0$, $\exists (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \nearrow t$, $t_n < t$, $t_n \in \mathbb{Q}$, then

$$Y_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_{t_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_{t_n} \mid \mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[X_t \mid \mathcal{G}] \text{ a.s.}$$

The boundedness of $Y(\cdot)$ is then obtained via boundedness of $X(\cdot)$.

The proof of II) is done.

2. For each partition Δ , $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t X_s^\Delta ds \mid \mathcal{G}\right]$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_{t_k} 1_{[t_k, t_{k+1})}(s) ds \mid \mathcal{G}\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (t_{k+1} - t_k) \mathbb{E}[X_{t_k} \mid \mathcal{G}]$$

$$= \int_0^t \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[X_{t_k} \mid \mathcal{G}] 1_{[t_k, t_{k+1})}(s) ds$$

$= \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[X_{(\cdot)} \mid \mathcal{G}]_s^\Delta ds$. By 1, we know that there exists

a modification of $\mathbb{E}[X_{(\cdot)} \mid \mathcal{G}]$ that is continuous,

which means that there exists a bounded continuous process

$Y_{(\cdot)}$ with $Y_t = \mathbb{E}[X_t \mid \mathcal{G}]$ and $\lim_{|\Delta| \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t Y_s^\Delta ds = \int_0^t Y_s ds$.

for a.s. $w \in \Omega$. Thus we have that $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t X_s ds \mid \mathcal{G}\right]$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\lim_{|\Delta| \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t X_s^\Delta ds \mid \mathcal{G}\right] = \lim_{|\Delta| \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t X_s^\Delta ds \mid \mathcal{G}\right]$$

$$= \lim_{|\Delta| \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[X_{(\cdot)} \mid \mathcal{G}]_s^\Delta ds = \lim_{|\Delta| \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t Y_s^\Delta ds$$

$$= \int_0^t Y_s ds = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[X_s \mid \mathcal{G}] ds, \text{ by D.C.T. and}$$

boundedness of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

3. For $0 \leq s < t < +\infty$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\lambda B_t} + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 e^{i\lambda B_z} dz \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\lambda B_s} \cdot e^{i\lambda(B_t - B_s)} \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^s \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 e^{i\lambda B_z} dz + \int_s^t \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. e^{i\lambda B_s} \cdot e^{i\lambda(B_z - B_s)} dz \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= e^{i\lambda B_s} \cdot \mathbb{E}e^{i\lambda(B_t - B_s)} + \int_0^s \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 e^{i\lambda B_z} dz + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 e^{i\lambda B_s}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^t e^{i\lambda(B_z - B_s)} dz \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= e^{i\lambda B_s} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(t-s)} + \int_0^s \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 e^{i\lambda B_z} dz + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 e^{i\lambda B_s}. \\ & \int_s^t e^{i\lambda B_s} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(\tau-s)} d\tau = e^{i\lambda B_s} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(t-s)} + \int_0^s \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 e^{i\lambda B_z} dz \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 e^{i\lambda B_s} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 s} \cdot \frac{1}{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2} (e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 s}) \\ &= e^{i\lambda B_s} + \int_0^s \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 e^{i\lambda B_z} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Integrability is obvious since $|e^{i\theta}| = 1$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Adaptedness is obvious by definition of the integral.

Thus the proof is done.

Ex 3

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\Delta_n = i \cdot 2^{-n}$, $0 \leq i \leq 2^n$. Define

for $t > 0$ that $V_t^{(n)} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})^2$. Since

$\{(B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})\}_{i=0}^{2^n-1}$ are i.i.d and have the distribution

$N(0, t_{i+1} - t_i) = N(0, \frac{t}{2^n})$, then we have that

$$\mathbb{E} V_t^{(n)} = 2^n \cdot \mathbb{E} (B_{t_1} - B_{t_0})^2 = 2^n \cdot \frac{t}{2^n} = t. \text{ And}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var } V_t^{(n)} &= \text{Var} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})^2 \right) = 2^n \cdot \text{Var} [(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0})^2] \\ &= 2^n \cdot 2 \left(\frac{t}{2^n} \right)^2 = \frac{t^2}{2^{n-1}} \end{aligned}$$

By Markov's inequality, we have for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(|V_t^{(n)} - t| \geq \varepsilon) &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \mathbb{E} |V_t^{(n)} - t|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \text{Var } V_t^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \frac{t}{2^{n-1}}. \quad \text{Then we have} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|V_t^{(n)} - t| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \frac{t}{2^{n-1}} < \infty.$$

By Borel-Cantelli Lemma, $P(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} \{|V_t^{(n)} - t| < \varepsilon\}) = 1$,

which implies the desired result.